	1-4 points		5-7 points		8-9 points		10 points		Comments
Statement of Problem	•	Statement of problem is hard to understand, verbose, or uses jargon. It is not clear what the specific problem is and no data or information is included to support justifying need.	•	Statement of problem is somewhat clear and minimally explains why funding is needed. There is some data or information to support need.	•	Statement of problem effectively depicts the need for the project. Data or additional information supports narrative and shows clear need.	•	Statement of problem is very clear, concise, and easy to understand. Information and data attached clearly supports need. Additional data or information complete a big picture explanation for need.	Score x2
Summary of the Project	•	The summary of the project is vague or unclear. No information on target population, geographic boundaries, or number of individuals to be served.	•	The summary of the project is somewhat clear and includes details. Little information on target population, geographic boundaries, or number of individuals to be served.	•	The summary of the project is clear with detailed descriptions of the work to be completed. Some information on target population, geographic boundaries, or number of individuals to be served.	•	The summary of the project is exceptionally clear and provides detailed information. Clear information on target population, geographic boundaries, or number of individuals to be served.	Score x2
SMART Goals/ Objectives	•	The goals of the project are not addressed or clearly stated. The goals do not align with the need stated. No outcomes are described.	•	The goals of the project are addressed but not clearly stated. Goals and desired outcomes are minimally described and are somewhat aligned with the need described.	•	The goals of the project are clearly stated. There lacks some specificity in what is measurable in outcomes.	•	The goals of the project are clearly stated. Significant outcomes are described The specific outcomes of each goal are stated clearly and are directly aligned to the described need. All goals and outcomes are measurable.	Score x2

Evaluation	•	Limited or weak plan for evaluating impact of the work in achieving goals.	•	Somewhat of a plan created for evaluating impact of the work in achieving goals.	•	Clear and strong plan created for evaluating impact of the work in achieving goals.	•	Comprehensive systemic plan created for evaluating impact of the work in achieving goals.	Score
Budget	•	Budget is unclear or lacks necessary information. Budget narrative does not provide justification for budgeted items in alignment with project.	•	Budget is somewhat clear. Budget narrative provides some justification for budgeted items in alignment with project.	•	Budget is clear and provides all necessary information. Budget narrative provides some justification for budgeted items in alignment with project.	•	Budget is clear, easy to understand, and provides all necessary information. Budget narrative provides a clear justification for budgeted items in alignment with the project.	Score x2
Alignment with NKY ASAP Strategic Plan	•	Lack of alignment between the NKY ASAP strategic plan and the goals of the work described in the application.	•	Somewhat of an alignment between the NKY ASAP strategic plan and the goals of the work described in the application.	•	Significant evidence of an alignment between the NKY ASAP strategic plan and the goals of the work described in the application.	•	Clear and strong alignment between the NKY ASAP strategic plan and the goals of the work described in the application.	Score
Recommende Additional Co		funding: 🗆 Ye	2S	Not at this tin	ne			Total Score	/100

90-100	Proposal appears complete, accurate, comprehensive, effective, and reasonable with no significant deficiencies
80-89	Proposal appears complete, accurate, comprehensive, effective, and reasonable with only minor deficiencies that must be addressed prior to funding.
70-79	Proposal has significant deficiencies that the applicant must correct prior to funding
<69	Proposal appears to have major deficiencies and does not qualify for funding